Jason Hassay

From: Amber Hausenfluck

Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2011 6:11 PM
To: Harold Cook; Jason Hassay

Cc: Debra Gonzales; Steve Scheibal; Ray Martinez; David Edmonson; Will Krueger; Dan Buda;

Graham Keever; Micah Rodriguez; Graham Keever_SC; Sara Gonzalez; Lara Wendler;

Gonzalo Serrano; Oscar Garza; Sushma Smith

Subject: RE: Amendments request por favor?

Strike "70 years of age or older" and substitute "65 years of age or older."

2. 2 alternative forms of ID (taken from SB 382 from the 81st)

Changes in the law do not take effect unless the comptroller determines that the legislature has appropriated the
amount of morey appropriate entities that is necessary to fully fund the implementation of this Act. The Changes
in law do not take effect unless the Secretary of State has received certification from every county that they have
completed the training and outreach designated in SB 14.

 A student at an institution of higher education in which their permanent address is different than their address used for voter registration can use their photo id and another form of id that has their school address listed (utility)

bill, bank statement, government check, paycheck, etc).

Amber Hausenfluck Deputy Legislative Director Senator Van de Putte, R.Ph. E1.610 512-463-0126

amber.hausenfluck@senate.state.tx.us

From: Harold Cook [mailto:hc@haroldcook.com] Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2011 5:36 PM

To: Jason Hassay

Cc: Debra Gonzales; Amber Hausenfluck; Steve Scheibal; Ray Martinez; David Edmonson; Will Krueger; Dan Buda; Graham Keever; Micah Rodriguez; Graham Keever_SC; Sara Gonzalez; Lara Wendler; Gonzalo Serrano; Oscar Garza;

Sushma Smith

Subject: Amendments request por favor?

Folks, if I could get a reply from each office on amendments each office has drafted for voter ID it might help the Senators' conference call more productive. No huge explanation necessary, just one sentence summaries?

On Jan 23, 2011, at 4:47 PM, Jason Hassay < Jason. Hassay @senate.state.tx.us > wrote:

We did an informal break down but only have our notes in pencil. Will work on typing them up to share

-Jason

Jason Hassay Chief of Staff & General Counsel 2:13-cv-193 09/02/2014 DEF2712 Office of Senator Carlos I. Uresti 512-463-0119 phone 512-463-1017 fax

From: Harold Cook [mailto:hc@haroldcook.com]

Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2011 4:33 PM

To: Debra Gonzales

Cc: Amber Hausenfluck; Steve Scheibal; Ray Martinez; David Edmonson; Will Krueger; Jason Hassay; Dan Buda; Graham Keever; Micah Rodriguez; Graham Keever_SC; Sara Gonzalez; Lara Wendler; Gonzalo

Serrano; Oscar Garza; Sushma Smith

Subject: Re: VID Working Group: Question of a side by side comparison of SB 14 & SB 362

At least 2 Senators have asked this very question, so somebody should sure tackle that project.

On Jan 23, 2011, at 4:28 PM, Debra Gonzales < Debra.Gonzales@senate.state.tx.us> wrote:

Hello

I was wondering if anyone had already done a side by side comparison of SB 14 and SB 362 (senate engrossed version from the 81st)? If so...may I please have a copy?

PARTS ON L If not I will be working on one and will share it if anyone wants a copy... Thanks!

Debra Gonzales

Legislative Director

Senator Gallegos

512-463-0106 office

512-463-0346 fax

From: Harold Cook [mailto:hc@haroldcook.com] Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2011 6:06 PM

To: Amber Hausenfluck; Steve Scheibal; Ray Martinez; Debra Gonzales; David

Edmonson; Will Krueger; Jason Hassay; Dan Buda; Graham Keever; Micah Rodriguez; Graham Keever_SC; Sara Gonzalez; Lara Wendler; Gonzalo Serrano; Oscar Garza; Sushma Smith

Subject: VID Working Group: add'l materials from Luis Figueroa

Luis Figueroa sent some requested items to several of the group so I wanted to share with all.

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Luis Figueroa" < lfigueroa@MALDEF.org>

Date: January 22, 2011 5:33:42 PM CST

To: "Harold Cook" < hc@haroldcook.com>, "David Edmonson" <David.Edmonson@senate.state.tx.us>, "Amber Hausenfluck" <Amber.Hausenfluck@senate.state.tx.us>,

<ri>richard.sooksiasian@senate.state.tx.us></ri>

Cc: "Laurie Vanhoose" austintransplant@gmail.com">austintransplant@gmail.com

Subject: Additional materials for Voter ID Senate Committee ANTO AT hearing

Attached:

1) Crawford v. Marion County- Supreme Court ruling that upheld Indiana's strict voter id legislation.

Distinguishing points:

- 1) Texas is a section 5 state with a much larger minority population than Indiana
- 2) Indiana provided a 10 day cure period after the election where as SB 14 only provides 6 days
- 2) Texas Voting Rights Act Report page 8-13 describes the history of voting discrimination in Texas.

3) Deceptive Elections Flyer given to minority voters in Tarrant County in 2007. Example of fraud that is substantiated and unprosecuted because there is no law on the books to address.

4) Voter Id power point. Pages 4-8 layout the studies demonstrating impact of voter identification laws on minority electorate.

5) Maricopa County Analysis of Conditional Provisional Ballots in Arizona after their implementation of a voter id(proposition 200) that mirrored last year's Tx Senate Voter ID DO JONG, ATIZONA I.

WANDAMARA AND ORNALLY STATES ONLY A Bill (SB 364). Conditional Provisional Ballots were given to voters that lacked the ID documents in Arizona meaning these ballots are separate from regular provisional ballots.

Luis Figueroa

MALDEF

Legislative Staff Attorney

110 Broadway

Suite 300

San Antonio, TX 78205

LFigueroa@maldef.org

210-224-5476 ext. 212